## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the Matter of:

THE TARIFF APPLICATION OF AT&T )
COMMUNICATIONS FOR OPTIONAL ) CASE NO. 9116
CALLING PLANS )

## ORDER

on July 20, 1984, AT&T Communications ("AT&T") filed a tariff proposal offering two optional calling plans, Reach Out Kentucky and AT&T Pro Kentucky. Based on considerations and determinations set out in its Order in Case No. 9116, dated December 5, 1984, the Commission did not grant approval of the proposed tariff. AT&T retained and still has the option of refiling the tariff with new and additional support documentation.

On December 20, 1984, AT&T filed an Application for Reconsideration of the issues in Case No. 9116 with additional information relating to projections of revenues and expenses to be made available for the Commission's review. The application does not address the issues of an inadequate breakdown of the cost of service for pricing justification and the impact of the additional revenue on AT&T services in Kentucky. AT&T has failed to show within the application, by clear and satisfactory evidence, that the determination made by the Commission is unreasonable as provided in KRS 278.430, Burden of Proof; therefore, the Commission is of the opinion and finds that:

- 1. The Application for Reconsideration should be denied.
- 2. AT&T should have the burden of proof to show by clear and satisfactory evidence that the determination made by the Commission is unreasonable as provided in KRS 278.430, Burden of Proof.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Application for Reconsideration be denied.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 9th day of January, 1985.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Vice Chairman

And Mull

ATTEST:

Secretary